Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/772,244	LIM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wendy C. Haas	1661
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Wendy C. Haas.	(3)	
(2) <u>Attorney Ben Duffet</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: The claim.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The Examiner contacted Mr. Duffet to inform him that the marked Mr. Duffet indicated he would forward the marked copy and posto	up copy of the Specification was	not of record in the Application.
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
ar Han-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)